


ON THE ORDER OF



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IPW

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov



LEONARD TACHNER
SUITE 295
3990 WESTERLY PLACE
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

MAILED

OCT 06 2011

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No. 5,803,073 :
Issue Date: September 8, 1998 : REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
Application No. 08/613,070 :
Filed: March 8, 1996 :
Atty. Dkt. No. ATOMIC-2 :

This letter is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.378(e), filed April 18, 2011. The required petition fee of \$400.00 has been charged to the authorized deposit account.

By Petitioner's own admission, the Tachner firm, or persons employed by that firm, have not been truthful with the USPTO. Furthermore, there is a specter of bias in the declaration of Dr. Albert since, at the time of his diagnosis, he was not Janis Foreman's doctor and he was paid to provide his opinion by Mr. Tachner. The record fails to include any evidence corroborating Dr. Albert's diagnosis. Given the circumstances of this case, corroborating evidence for Dr. Albert's diagnosis is required.

It is extremely odd that the people that were closest to Ms. Foreman did not notice that, as stated by Dr. Albert, she exhibited "destabilizing behavior" or that "she lost her sense of reality" or "lost her sense of proportionality." Further, as stated in Mr. Tachner's declaration, it appears that for about two years, Ms. Foreman was failing to bill clients or pay firm obligations even though the performance of these tasks appears to have been her primary duty. Therefore, it is not clear from the record how Mr. Tachner could have failed to recognize Ms. Foreman was failing to properly bill clients and pay firm obligations.

In response to the instant request for information, Petitioner is required to provide a rebuttal to all the assertions set forth in the petition filed July 21, 2010 in U.S. Patent No. 6,205,885.¹ Furthermore, Petitioner must explain why the current explanation provided in this case is any more believable than other explanations previously provided. Petitioner is required to provide corroborating evidence of Ms. Foreman's condition from a source that is independent of Dr. Albert. Additionally, Petitioner must fully discuss how the actions of Ms. Foreman, with regard to the docket, went undetected from early 2005 until January 2011. Further, Petitioner must explain how Ms. Foreman's failure to bill clients and pay firm obligations went undetected from early 2005 until the end of 2007.

Petitioner must submit the requested information within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this letter. Extensions of time may not be obtained. No additional fee is due for a response to the instant

¹ A copy of the petition can be accessed using the Office's Public Patent Application Information Retrieval system located at <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>.

request for information. The response to this Requirement for Information should include a cover letter entitled "Response to Request for Information." The failure to file a reply to the instant Request for Information will be interpreted as a desire to no longer pursue reinstatement of the patent and the Office will give no further consideration to the matter.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITIONS
 Commissioner for Patents
 Post Office Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Service Window
 Mail Stop Petitions
 Randolph Building
 401 Dulany Street
 Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax: (571) 273-8300
 ATTN: Office of Petitions

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to Attorney Advisor Alesia M. Brown at (571) 272-3205.



Anthony Knight
Director
Office of Petitions